UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATIO	N NO. FILI	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/849,1	09 05	/18/2004	Kai-Tsung Teng	577892000100	5677
25226 MORI	7590 USON & FOERS	08/03/2007 TER II P	EXAMINER		
755 P	AGE MILL RD			NGUYEN, BAO THUY L	
PALO	ALTO, CA 9430	4-1018		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			•	1641	
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•			08/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/849,109	TENG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Bao-Thuy L. Nguyen	1641			
The MAILING DATE of this communical Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAII - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA OF CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repication. Topy period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH by statute, cause the application to become ABAI	ATION. ly be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of 2a) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice 	☐ This action is non-final. allowance except for formal matter				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,8-13 and 18 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5 ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,8-13 and 18 is/are rejected for is/are objected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are object to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the E 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objectio Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	n accepted or b) objected to by n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance e correction is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	-948) Paper No(s)/I	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application			

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The amendment submitted 11 May 2007 has been received. Claims 4-7 and 14-17 have been canceled. Claims 1-3, 8-13 and 18 are pending.
- 2. All rejections not reiterated herein below are withdrawn in view of the changes and/or cancellation of the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- **4.** Claims 1-3, 8-13 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is vague with respect to the recitation of "either of an electrostatic treatment and a film coating treatment". The "and" in this phrase should be -or--.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1641

6. Claims 1-3, 8-13 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robinson et al (US 5,726,064) in view of The Millipore Guide to Developing Test Strip.

Robinson discloses the invention substantially as claimed. Robinson teaches a waveguide comprising two plates of transparent material such as glass, separated by a narrow gap or cavity. One plate acts as an optical waveguide and carries an immobilized reagent appropriate to the test to be carried out. The other transparent plate can carry on its surface remote from the cavity a layer of light-absorbing or opaque material. The device can be used in a competitive or sandwich immunoassay. See column 8, line 64 through column 9, line 60. The transparent plates can be made of plastic material, quartz, similar or glass and are fixed together in parallel relationship, less than 1 mm apart, preferably 0.1 mm, by means of bonding tracks of suitable adhesive. See column 20, lines 44-57. Robinson discloses glass microspheres of about 100 microns are placed in a pattern defining the long edges of one transparent plate, a sheet of glass is then placed over the waveguide, and a vacuum applied to the laminate. As a result, the plates are glued together and the microspheres defining a gap of 100 microns. See column 30, lines 10-25.

Robinson differs from the instant invention in failing to teach a base member.

Art Unit: 1641

The Millipore Guide discloses, in general, means for making test strip. Millipore teaches backing the test strip to provide added strength as well as other advantages.

See page 13-16.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a backing member such as taught by the Millipore Guide to the waveguide of Robinson for the advantages of an increase in tensile strength leading to easy of handling. A skilled artisan would have been motivated to applying a base, or backing member to provide a support structure to the device of Robinson because such backing is well known in the art.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 11 May 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Robinson in view of the Millipore Guide do not teach that the immobilizing substance is spray-coated on the light-permissible member, nor do they teach subjecting the light-permissible layer to an electrostatic treatment or film coating treatment prior to immobilizing detection bands onto said layer.

These arguments are not persuasive. These limitations are steps in a method of making a device, and do not result in a structural difference between the instant device and those of the prior art. A product-by-process claim must result in a structural

Application/Control Number: 10/849,109

Art Unit: 1641

difference between the claimed invention and the prior art product in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bao-Thuy L. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-0824. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday -- Thursday from 9:00 a.m. - 3:00 p.m..

Application/Control Number: 10/849,109

Art Unit: 1641

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long V. Le can be reached on (571) 272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Bao-Thuy L. Nguyen Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1641

7/27/07